Winter management practices to optimise early spring pasture production: a review

A.J. WALL 1, D.R. STEVENS 1, B.R. THOMPSON 1 and C.L. GOULTER 2.

1 AgResearch Invermay Research Centre, Puddle Alley Rd, Mosgiel.
2 106 Brookby Rd, Blenheim.

david.stevens@agresearch.co.nz

Abstract
The performance of the national ewe flock has increased significantly in the past 20 years. Pasture management in winter and early spring has a large impact on the success of the subsequent seasons. Overgrazing in winter can reduce spring pasture production by up to 80%. Treading and pugging damage can also decrease spring pasture production by 10% for every 10% of bare area created. Maintaining pasture cover at over 2000 kg DM/ha can improve pasture growth, and leaving a residual pasture mass of more than 1200 kg DM/ha also aids in pasture production in late winter. Techniques such as feed budgeting, longer winter feeding periods (4 day cf. 1 day shifting) and increasing the control of feed intake during late pregnancy can be used to help achieve the goals of higher pasture residuals and increased pasture growth and availability. More tools for managing the transition from winter rotational grazing to spring continuous grazing would help farmers.
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Introduction
What has changed over the past 20 years that impacts on how sheep and beef farm systems should manage pasture? Sheep and beef farm systems have come under pressure from competing land uses, mainly due to dairy expansion. Davison (2012) outlined changes in the sheep industry. Since 1994 breeding ewe numbers have gone from 34.4 million to 20.8 million in 2011. Lambing percentage has increased from 103% in 1994 to 125% in 2011, while lamb carcass weights have increased from 15.0 kg to 18.4 kg over the same period. That has seen lamb meat production increase from 11.5 kg/breeding ewe in 1994 to 18.0 kg/breeding ewe in 2011 (Davison 2012).

Many of our feeding practices are based on research from the 1960s to 1980s. New Zealand sheep research during the 1960s to 1980s reported ewe live weights of 45 to 55 kg (e.g., Smeaton & Rattray 1984), while recent research (e.g., Kenyon et al. 2011) reports ewes of 60 to 70 kg. To get a ewe to produce twins or triplets with weaning weights that may be 3 to 10 kg heavier (Kenyon et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2004) than in the 1980s (Smeaton & Rattray 1984) requires ensuring that the feed supply in spring is adequate to meet the greater intake requirements for both maintenance and gestation.

Choices farmers make about winter pasture management have a significant impact on the early spring feed supply and pasture growth. Pasture growth in the early spring period has a large impact on a beef and sheep farming system. It influences many key activities, such as: winter stocking rates, lambing and calving dates, lambing, lactation and weaning potential, and efficiency of finishing growth rates.

Farmers need to make greater use of available pasture management tools and knowledge to effectively manage winter pastures if further productivity gains are going to be made on sheep and beef farms. Many of these tools can be used to help more effectively manage winter and early spring pastures (Webby & Bywater 2007). These include feed budgeting, feed wedges, spring rotation planners and the concept of a balance date. Many of these tools come from the dairy industry (Holmes & Roche 2007) and are yet to be taken up on sheep and beef farms. However, by using these pasture management tools, sheep and beef farmers have the opportunity to improve the feed supply on their farms in early spring when animal demand is at its highest, relative to new pasture growth.

Of specific importance is the role that management practices applied in the early to mid winter have on late winter and early spring feed supply and animal production. Many current farm management systems accumulate (stockpile) feed in late summer and autumn to be fed out during winter. Winter growth then accounts for much of the available feed during early spring as pasture growth starts to catch up with feed demand. Animals affect pasture production through grazing (defoliation), treading, and return of dung and urine. These effects may be more apparent during winter and early spring because pasture growth is slow and soil conditions can lead to greater treading damage.

The intent of this review is to help us better understand how winter pasture management may alter the amount of feed available in late winter and early
spring to improve the productive performance of ewes in early lactation and for finishing cattle.

The following are a list of questions which this paper will explore to help clarify the impacts of winter pasture management on subsequent spring pasture production.

1. How much leaf should I leave behind to maximise late winter and early spring pasture growth?
2. What if I leave extra grass on the paddock in the hope that early spring growth will increase?
3. What if I keep the stock off the pasture to prevent treading and pugging?
4. How do I move from winter rotational grazing to spring set stocking?

**How much leaf should I leave behind to maximise late winter and early spring pasture growth?**

Leaves are essential for photosynthesis but are also the principle plant component consumed by grazing animals. Animal production from a grazing system depends on a balance between growing pasture and consuming it, aiming to optimise this throughout the year. Essential to managing the amount of leaf area left after grazing is an understanding of the development of leaves, and the angle of incidence of sunlight (Hodgson 1990), providing plenty of available pasture for grazing. However, low light levels may restrict net pasture growth and promote senescence. Generally we see that total pasture mass in winter seldom exceeds 3000–4000 kg DM/ha because of this effect.

The most important practical considerations come when grazing these pastures. Enough leaf needs to be removed to get light to the base of the pasture to help stimulate new tiller and leaf growth (Hodgson 1990), while meeting animal demand, and leaving enough leaf to maximise rapid pasture growth. If the LAI is reduced to below 4, then pasture production is reduced. A LAI of 4 is approximately 3–4 cm of pasture height. However, animal demand in winter is well above pasture growth and so often winter pastures are grazed much closer to the ground than 3–4 cm. Of critical importance to the grazing system is that the LAI of pastures is back to optimal levels in early spring as light levels and temperature increase.

New Zealand research illustrates the impacts of winter grazing height (or residual LAI) on spring production. Frequent hard grazing events at heights less than 1 cm (approximately 650–800 kg DM/ha) limited spring pasture growth onset by approximately 4 to 6 weeks and total yield by up to 50% (Harris & Brown 1970; Black 1975).

**What if I leave extra grass on the paddock in the hope that early spring growth will increase?**

This question raises two separate issues. The first is the potential to increase pasture growth in the short term. The second is the impact that winter grazing may have on pasture growth and feed quality later in spring.

Recent research suggests that increasing the residual pasture cover up to approximately 2000 kg DM/ha, will provide extra growth in winter (Matthew et al. 1995). Bluett et al. (1998) investigated the influence of winter pasture mass on growth on both sheep and beef and dairy farms and concluded that on dairy farms pasture production was maximised at a pasture cover of approximately 2500 kg DM/ha, while the optimum cover was harder to define on a sheep and beef farm.

Lee et al. (2005) considered residual pasture covers during winter (rather than whole farm cover) and concluded that grazing to 1260 or 1870 kg DM/ha provided similar regrowth potential, though there was a small transient reduction of water soluble carbohydrates in the residual pasture at 1260 kg DM/ha. This may be significant if frequent defoliation to low residuals takes place during winter, and may help explain the slow start to spring recovery measured by Harris & Brown (1970).

Cattle grazing systems have also been compared. Boom & Sheath (2000) used slow or fast rotations (120 cf. 45 days) during the winter in Waikato. Steers
Winter management practices to optimise early spring pasture production... (A.J. Wall, D.R. Stevens, B.R. Thompson and C.L. Goulter) 77

grew faster over the winter on the fast rotation (24 kg heavier), but more feed was on offer in early spring when a slow rotation was used (300–500 kg DM/ha more). Maintaining high pasture covers on bull beef units throughout winter in Manawatu has also been shown to have a significant positive impact on pasture growth in late winter and spring (Coutinho et al. 1998). Good feed planning which maintained pasture covers at approximately 2000 kg DM/ha produced between 800 and 1800 kg DM/ha more feed, mainly over the period of early July to mid September (Coutinho et al. 1998) and translated into increased liveweight gain in cattle at this time. Both of these examples illustrate the importance of maintaining relatively high pasture covers in winter to maximise late winter and early spring production. Importantly, the stocking rate of all systems was similar, as was per head performance. The key difference was the management input into assessing and allocating feed, with attention to using grazing cover targets.

The question of the impact of winter grazing residuals on spring feed quality has received little experimental attention and research on this topic is currently under way. Boom & Sheath (2000) made reference to spring pasture quality, but identified the major limitation to pasture quality as high pasture growth rates in spring leading to poor late spring pasture quality, rather than a carry-over effect from ungrazed winter pasture. Smeaton & Rattray (1984) also recognised a similar situation in sheep systems. These issues are more appropriately managed by flexible spring stocking policies, rather than winter grazing management.

Leaving a greater residual pasture mass after grazing does appear to improve both winter and spring production. Research has shown that this can be achieved within a framework of meeting animal demand (Boom & Sheath 2000; Coutinho et al. 1998) when techniques like feed budgeting are used.

What if I keep the stock off the pasture to prevent treading and pugging?

Treading damage to pastures comes in several forms. This can be through tiller and leaf damage or compaction and pugging. Each of these has different impacts on the pasture and its future response. Tiller and leaf damage influence the utilisation of the pasture at the time of grazing, and the future production of that pasture.

Compaction and pugging affect the soil conditions and therefore influence the supply of air, water and nutrients to the roots (Drewry et al. 2008). Often significant pugging damage is more likely to occur with cattle grazing (Betteridge et al. 1999). Data from Drewry et al. (2008) and Nie et al. (2001) indicates that spring pasture production may be reduced by approximately 10% for every 10% of the paddock that is pugged, though recovery from pugging does occur, often within 3 to 6 months (Drewry 2006).

Tiller and leaf damage (Edmond 1964) and compaction (Betteridge et al. 1999) may occur with all classes of livestock, depending on soil conditions and stocking rate. Some pasture grasses are more resilient to treading than others, with perennial ryegrass being most resilient with a reduction in pasture growth of up to 23% at high sheep stocking rates, while browntop and Yorkshire fog are the least resilient with potential reduction of up to 63% at similar high sheep stocking rates (Edmond 1964). This has significance in hill country pastures where often pastures have a very high abundance of the more susceptible pasture grasses such as browntop and Yorkshire Fog (e.g., Chapman & Clark 1984).

Macroporosity (a measure of compaction) often ranges between 5 and 20% of the soil volume. Pasture production may increase by approximately 1% for every 1% increase in macroporosity over this range (Betteridge et al. 2003).

Brown & Harris (1972) compared two wintering systems, restricted grazing when extremely wet or full stand-off pad use throughout the winter, and found the ewe intake was higher on the restricted grazing system, though pasture cover in August was higher on a full stand-off situation.

Practically some options are available to farmers. A longer grazing duration during winter (4 days cf. 1 day) may increase late winter and early spring pasture growth by up to 80% (Stevens et al. 2011). This was attributed to greater post-grazing pasture residuals and less tiller damage in wet conditions. Lower treading damage was noted as stocking density and walking were reduced. Again, the pasture allowance and subsequent ewe performance was similar under both 1 and 4 day grazing durations.

Farmers may reduce cattle treading damage by providing sacrifice or runoff areas during times of greatest risk, or confine cattle to feed pads during the wetter months.

How do I move from winter rotational grazing to spring set stocking?

This topic is of significant importance to sheep and beef farmers as they move into lambing and calving. This becomes a balance between current feed availability and current and future growth. Dairy farmers use a variety of pasture management tools such as spring rotation planners, balance dates and feed wedges to help with this transition. The difference between dairy and sheep and beef farmers is that dairy farmers are transitioning...
from a long rotation to a short rotation, while sheep and beef farmers are transitioning from rotational grazing to continuous grazing. This means that different tools are required to aid this transition. Surprisingly, there is little research helping define appropriate strategies to achieve this. Bircham (1984) modelled the interactions between pasture cover and pasture growth rate in spring on ewe and lamb performance to weaning. He concluded that a higher herbage mass at lambing resulted in greater weight of lamb weaned, regardless of pasture growth rate. Lower starting pasture covers depressed pasture growth more, and maximum weight of lamb was weaned at his highest opening cover of 1200 kg DM/ha. This modelling was done using single-bearing ewes of approximately 50 kg liveweight and confirmed the conclusions of Smeaton & Rattray (1984) that feed supply post-lambing has a significant impact on weight of lamb weaned. Ewe productivity is much greater now than in the early 1980s.

While liveweight gain of lambs from birth to weaning depends strongly on feed supply after lambing, lamb survival is affected by the dam’s feed supply before lambing. Scales et al. (1986) demonstrated that increasing ewe liveweight by up to 15 kg in the 6 weeks before lambing increased the survival of twin born lambs by 5% per 10 kg gained. This effect was not significantly related to an increase in lamb birth weight, but can be explained by the work of Everett-Hincks et al. (2004a,b) who documented a link between maintaining ewe condition score, maternal behaviour and lamb survival. Litherland et al. (1999) investigated the impacts of ewe condition score and herbage mass at lambing on early and late lambing flocks of twin-bearing ewes in the Wairarapa. They concluded that a high ewe condition score was important when weather conditions were adverse, increasing docking percentage by 17%, and that high herbage mass was important for early lambing flocks, when pasture growth was unable to meet ewe demand, increasing lamb weaning liveweight by 2 kg. This emphasises the interaction between current feed availability and pasture growth.

Maintaining the balance between improving lamb survival and maximising lamb growth after birth is important to overall production. Methods to control feed supply and demand include maintaining control of feed by break-feeding up to lambing, rather than early set-stocking, keeping ewes on crop to let pastures recover from winter grazing, and using potential individual lambing date information (gathered by the use of pregnancy scanning and ram harnesses) for accurate feed allowance. Other options such as grazing off and buying supplements are also available.

Conclusion
Winter grazing management practices have a major impact on the late winter and early spring feed supply. Previous research provides some insight into the type of grazing managements that may be applied during winter to maximise feed availability and pasture growth in late winter and early spring. Developing grazing management plans that maintain high pasture covers during winter is an option that sheep and beef farmers can use to increase the supply of pasture in late winter and early spring. Elements of the plan will need to include feed budgeting, mitigation of treading and pugging damage and a consideration of the transition from the winter rotation to spring set stocking. Research demonstrates that leaving higher pasture residual herbage mass can be achieved through using feed budgeting and techniques such as longer grazing periods (4 cf. 1 day breaks) and a stand-off (or sacrifice) area to reduce treading damage. Using potential lambing date information from pregnancy scanning or ram harnesses can also help farmers plan and control feed requirements near lambing and calving when feed supply is critical for maximising animal production. More practical tools to help manage this transition phase around lambing and calving would aid farmers decision making at this time.
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