The future landscape of New Zealand agriculture

Abstract
Land use change has been a constant theme of New Zealand history. Economics, government policy and farmer choices continue to influence these changes. Current financial drivers are encouraging further growth in the dairy industry through the Canterbury and Southland regions. Changing economic opportunities and government policy around water and nutrient loss will continue to shift the balance of the forces driving land use change. The challenge for business and science is to intensify the competition for land use by lifting the performance of all sectors.

Introduction
Land use is a visual, often emotive and economically critical part of New Zealand life. Land use change is always occurring, often not in a broad pattern across the whole country but regionally specific as new opportunities to use land, sunshine and water attract the attention of farmers and investors. The purpose of this paper is to examine some of the trends and drivers of land use change both in the past and the future.

Two centuries of change
The pattern of land use and agricultural practises in New Zealand has been subject to change ever since human settlement began. Belich (1996) described how Maori originally adopted a hunting and gardening culture that shifted to gardening, gathering and fishing driven by changing food availability and population growth. Management systems included use of soil amendments, use of fire and the systematic planting and harvesting of native and introduced plants. The arrival of European settlers with a new suite of plants and animals led to further changes in land use and management systems that continue to evolve today.

All of these changes can be explained by differences in the profitability and capital values of different land uses, influenced by resource limits including slope, soil types and irrigation availability. These changes reinforce the basic land valuation concept that land use will over time move to its best use (Matthews 2010). This is captured conceptually in work by the Motu Research Group (Todd & Kerr 2009). This depicts the interactions between land use profitability and land slope - with dairying most profitable on flat land, forestry most profitable on steep land and sheep farming intermediate.

Under the right circumstances this can lead to near mono-cultural land use at both small scale (e.g. viticulture in the Wairau Valley) and large scale with corn and soybeans occupying 84% of the 11M ha of crop quality land in Iowa (Iowa State University 2010).

Current drivers of change
As usual, learning from the lessons of the past is a good place to start. However, the drivers of the future...
Changes in land use (ha) from late 1970s to 2009. Due to changes in reporting, all data are not available in every year, representative values for each period are shown. Note the log scale. Source: Statistics NZ.

Will not be the same in detail as the drivers of the past. There is no sign on the government agenda of Land Development Encouragement Loans, a State Advances Bank, Supplementary Minimum Prices, or the Livestock Taxation policies that drove deer industry expansion. Britain can’t join the EEC again, and OSH would never allow live deer capture. However, the interacting roles of economics, government policy and farmer choices still exist overlaid on natural resources of soil type and climate - how might these play out in future?

Competition for land use is currently occurring in the Canterbury and Southland regions where the growth in dairying has been greatest over the last decade. Recent MAF Farm Monitoring reports (MAF 2010) show that the economic pressures are continuing to favour the movement of land away from arable and sheep farming and into dairy. The data in Fig. 2 show average of 5 years of business profitability data, expressed as farm surplus for re-investment as a proportion of equity employed. Farm surplus is calculated after debt servicing and living expenses, so represents the business reality facing current owners. An alternative measure is the pre-debt cash-operating surplus, most usefully expressed as a return on assets employed. Given that the dairy farms in both regions carry significantly higher debt levels than sheep or arable farms, neither measure is perfect - but both give the same result. Dairy farming in both regions over the 5 years of actual and forecast data from 2006/2007 to 2010/2011 shows much higher financial returns.

Change does not happen instantaneously, existing land owners go through a long process of deciding to sell or convert to dairying. Either of these decisions has major implications for farmers, and with rising land values and low debt, existing farmers have not been under huge pressure to change. MAF commentary in the 2010 Farm Monitoring Report identifies that there is on-going debate in these farming businesses about land use change.

So accepting that there is on-going financial pressure to change land use in both Canterbury and Southland, and accepting also the principles that land use will move to its best use and that a monopoly of one land use over others is possible - the question remains, what might shift the balance of the momentum?

**Unique advantages - water and biosecurity**

New Zealand farmers currently hold two important comparative advantages. These are an abundance of water by world standards (World Bank 2010) and a strong biosecurity system that allows worldwide export of farm produce. Forecast global water shortages may create the opportunity for arable farmers to grow water intensive crops in New Zealand that have priced themselves off the water market overseas. By definition these will not be the highest value crops per unit water consumed - but are those that produce high returns per hectare when water is more freely available. Pyke (2010) explores some of these possibilities for irrigated arable farmers - linking high water availability through the growing season with precision irrigation and biosecurity in a scenario that sees arable farmers increasingly growing higher value seed crops for export.

**The return of wool?**

Debates over the organisation of the meat industry have had such a profile that it is easy to overlook the fact that lamb has been a success story for New Zealand farmers. Fig. 3 shows that lamb prices have kept up in real terms with dairy prices over the last 30 years, and sheep farmers have made large productivity gains through improved ewe fertility and lamb growth rates. Fig. 3 also shows that is the value of wool (down 75% in real terms) and beef that have been the under-performers. Any increase in wool price goes straight to the profit line for farmers as wool growth is an
overhead of lamb production. Increased profitability per ewe would encourage sheep farmers to lift pasture production through greater inputs and further increase farm profitability and return on assets.

**Constraints on land use impacts**

Carbon costs, nutrient loss limits and visual impacts are three possible constraints that might shift the balance of land use in the Canterbury and Southland regions. Of these, nutrient loss limits seem most likely to impact on land use patterns in the more intensively farmed areas. High intensity land use has the potential to lead to high losses of nutrients (particularly N and P) and sediment to waterways and groundwater. Modern farm practices generally control sediment loss, leaving nutrients as the major concern. Further expansion of the dairy industry will require farmers to adopt more nutrient efficient systems, not simply in terms of nutrient loss or input per kg product, but at the absolute level of nutrient loss per hectare. Increasing profitability of sheep or arable farming would lead to similar pressures as these farmers would also be likely to intensify their operations given improved profitability.

**The challenges**

New Zealand is a country whose economy remains highly reliant on primary production. After some decades of government indifference we are now seeing political and industry will to invest in science and support primary industry development. Unlike the diversification focus of the 1980s this cycle includes government focusing on the big dairy and meat industries where scale allows large gains to flow back to the economy.

The challenge for both science and business is to increase the competition between primary sectors for land and other resources. Improving prices, production options and productivity in the arable and sheep sectors, and developing nutrient efficient dairy systems are important steps to lifting the potential of all primary sectors to contribute to farmer and community wealth.
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