Dry matter yield, nutritive value and tiller density of tall fescue and perennial ryegrass swards under grazing
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Abstract

Alternative pasture species with the potential to supply quality forage during summer feed shortages, such as tall fescue (TF), are of interest to dairy farmers. A paddock-scale study was undertaken to compare performance of TF managed on a shorter rotation similar to perennial ryegrass (RG) (TF-RG) with TF managed on a longer rotation more consistent with its morphology of 4 live leaves/tiller (TF-TF), and with RG (RG-RG). Accumulated dry matter (DM) yields were similar for the three treatments. Patch grazing was observed during the first spring, with more long patches in TF-TF than in either TF-RG or RG-RG. Sown-species leaf area index (LAI) was greater in TF-TF compared with TF-RG and RG-RG (2.25, 1.56 and 0.90, respectively; P<0.05). The proportions of grass weeds were higher in the TF-RG (P<0.05) compared with TF-TF and RG-RG treatments (302, 207 and 164 g/kg DM, respectively). A soil fertility gradient with distance along the paddock away from the farm race was recorded, with Olsen P declining at 0.130 mg/kg/m with distance from the farm race. Tiller density, LAI and yield of sown species and total yield sampled were all positively correlated with Olsen P. Overall, this study highlights the importance of managing TF pastures according to its specific growth habits. However, attaining longer grazing rotations under field conditions whilst trying to maintain cow intakes, is likely to continue to prove elusive.
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Introduction

New Zealand’s pastoral industries rely predominantly on a mixture of perennial ryegrass (RG; Lolium perenne L.) and white clover (Trifolium repens L.) (Kemp et al. 2002). However, moisture and temperature stress during summer and autumn in certain areas result in low dry matter (DM) yields, decreased herbage quality and reduced animal performance (Litherland et al. 2002), resulting in continuing interest in the use of alternative permanent pasture species. Tall fescue (TF; Festuca arundinacea Schreb., syn., Schedonorus arundinaceus and Lolium arundinaceum) is a perennial grass with potential to out-yield RG and supply quality forage during dry and hot summer and autumn periods (McCallum et al. 1992). The development of suitable TF cultivars has increased its use in pastoral agriculture (Easton & Pennell 1993), however, for maximum growth, TF should be managed differently from RG due to morphological differences between the two species (Fulkerson & Donaghy 2001; Donaghy et al. 2008). Tall fescue maintains 4 live leaves/tiller, compared to 3 in RG. Typically, during a dry summer and autumn, TF exhibits a faster regrowth rate resulting in 20-40% more DM yield and higher quality herbage than slower-growing RG (Lazenby & Lovett 1975; McCallum et al. 1992; Clark et al. 2010). Milne et al. (1997) recommend faster grazing rotations for TF compared to RG, ranging from 5 days faster from October-December to 20-25 days faster from May-September. Kerrisk & Thomson (1990) reported an optimal rotation for TF during spring of 15 days compared to 30 days for RG. However, as TF produces longer and thicker leaves which have a slower elongation rate and a longer lifespan than those of RG (Kemp et al. 2001), faster grazing rotations may jeopardise production and persistence (Donaghy et al. 2008).

This provides a quandary for the management of TF on-farm; grazing rotations need to be frequent enough to maintain herbage quality, yet not so frequent that they jeopardise yield and persistence. It has been reported (e.g., Easton et al. 1994; Milne et al. 1997) that farmers have been disappointed with TF persistence and performance, limiting its use on dairy farms. Such dissatisfaction with TF may arise, at least in part, from farmers inadvertently assuming TF can be managed according to the criteria used for RG. Therefore, a paddock-scale study was undertaken to compare performance of TF managed on a shorter
rotation similar to RG, and TF managed on a longer rotation more consistent with its morphology of 4 live leaves/tiller.

**Methods**

**Site**

This study (January 2013 to December 2015) was on the Massey University Dairy 4 farm, 5 km south of Palmerston North (40.397431°S, 175.624011°E), on a Tokomaru silt loam. Soil pH and nutrient contents (75 mm depth) before sowing were: pH 5.8, Olsen phosphorus (P) 40 mg/L, sulphur (S) 7.5 mg/kg, potassium (K) 0.20 me/100g, calcium (Ca) 7.3 me/100g, magnesium (Mg) 1.0 me/100 g and sodium (Na) 0.08 me/100 g. The mean annual rainfall at the site over 3 years was 869 mm, 10% less than the 30 year average of 970 mm. The experimental site (2.25 ha) was sprayed with 2L/ha Roundup® Transorb® (540 g/L a.i. glyphosate) before mouldboard ploughing, power and Dutch harrowing. This area was split into three approximately equal-sized grazing strips and sown on 16 November 2012 with a vee-ring roller-drill followed by light chain harrows to cover the seed. One strip was sown with RG (cv. Bealey; 28 kg/ha) and white clover (cv. Kopu II and Tribute, each sown at 2 kg/ha), and the other two strips with TF (cv. Easton; 25 kg/ha) and white clover (cv. Kopu II and Tribute, each sown at 2 kg/ha). Cropmaster 15 (15.2% nitrogen (N), 10% P, 10% K and 7.7% S) was applied at 200 kg/ha on 15 November 2012.

**Experimental design**

The three strips were each subjected to different unreplicated species/grazing treatments to observe sward performance under dairy cow grazing. The RG strip (RG-RG, 33 x 257 m, 0.85 ha), and one TF strip (TF-RG, 29 x 255 m, 0.74 ha) were rotationally grazed when 2-3 RG leaves/tiller were fully emerged or earlier if canopy closure occurred (targeting above 3000 kg DM/ha) (Fulkerson & Donaghy 2001). The RG-RG and TF-RG strips were adjacent to each other. The second TF strip (TF-TF, 29 x 253 m, 0.73 ha) was rotationally grazed when 2-4 TF leaves/tiller were fully emerged or earlier if canopy closure occurred (Donaghy et al. 2008). The more frequent rotations were for periods of higher growth rates. All grazing events employed an average of 130 cows to achieve a post-grazing residual of close to 5 cm within 2 days.

During the experiment secondary hypotheses were developed in response to evolving sward phenomena. A pattern of heavily and lightly grazed patches was visually obvious on TF-RG and TF-TF strips, hence sward height data were analysed to quantify typical sward height distributions of the three strips mid-experiment. Secondly, in collecting data on tiller density at the end of the experiment, an assumed decreasing soil fertility gradient with distance from the entry gates on the farm race to the far end of the three strips was utilised, to test a hypothesis that tiller density was influenced by the fertility gradient during the experiment.

**Herbage production and defoliation**

The first grazing of all three strips was based on strong establishment of seedlings and before reducing reduced tiller appearance and pasture quality. Subsequent grazings were as outlined above. During the experiment, the average leaf appearance interval of RG ranged from 10-11 days in spring (October-December), 11-15 days in summer (January-March), and 18-20 days in autumn/winter (April-September), compared to TF at 11-12, 11-15 and 14-15 days, respectively. The dates of all grazing events are presented in Figure 1.

A rising plate meter (RPM) was used to record all pre- and post-grazing masses until October 2013 (100 readings/strip taken pre- and post-grazing); RPM readings were calibrated for TF and RG against cut quadrats. No difference between species was found and so a single calibration equation was used where pasture mass (kg DM/ha) equals the average reading from RPM x 140 + 500 (DairyNZ 2008). From 1 October 2013 pre- and post-grazing masses were measured using an electronic C-Dax pasture meter (King et al. 2010) and calibration equation determined from mown yields. Total DM yield for each grazing was calculated as the difference between pre- and post-grazing masses (DM yield equals current pre-grazing herbage mass minus post-grazing herbage mass from the previous grazing).

**Herbage nutritive value**

The day before grazing, herbage samples were collected to assess grass species nutritive value. A sample of approximately 10 x 10 cm was cut (5 cm stubble) using a shearing hand-piece, from approximately 20 sites randomly located along each of the three strips. These subsamples were bulked for each grazing strip. Other species were removed from the bulk sample and the grass-only portion stored in a freezer at −20°C. Samples were then freeze-dried, ground to pass a 1 mm sieve and analysed by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (FeedTECH; AgResearch Grasslands, Palmerston North) to determine crude protein (CP), soluble sugars and starch (SSS), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), lipid and ash, organic matter digestibility (OMD) and metabolisable energy (ME) contents.

Patch grazing of the TF was visually apparent after the first four grazings, so at each grazing from 9 July 2013 until 5 March 2014, herbage (at least 20 subsamples, 5 cm stubble) were collected from ‘long’ or ‘short’ areas and analysed separately. Long and short areas were
categorised visually and probably represent a cycle of rejection of herbage around dung patches (Valentine 2000), with greater accumulation and morphological development in the following regrowth interval and further rejection at the subsequent grazing (Hirata 2000). This stratified sampling was discontinued from March 2014 because mowing was introduced on two occasions to control post-grazing residuals to around 5 cm height, reducing the incidence of patch grazing.

Tiller density, sown species leaf area and soil fertility
The strips were sampled for tiller density at the conclusion of the experiment (14 December 2015). Before sampling, the three strips were visually observed to have a gradient of decreasing fertility moving from the entry gate at the farm race (0 m) to the back of the strip (~250 m). To allow assessment of fertility effects on persistence by regression analysis, 4 transects running across each strip were identified at 55, 100, 145 and 190 m from the farm race and 12 herbage samples (76 x 117 mm) cut to ground level on each transect, totalling 48 samples/strip. For each herbage sample, the number of tillers of sown and non-sown grass species were counted. Tiller density (tillers/m²) was calculated as tillers counted divided by the area sampled (m²). The sample was further separated into sown grass leaf lamina and pseudostem, other grasses, clover, weeds and dead herbage to determine botanical composition. For each strip, a subsample of sown grass leaf material was retained and length and width of leaf lamina segments in the sample measured, before drying and weighing to provide a specific leaf area (cm²/g dry weight) for multiplication with leaf mass per unit area for the 48 samples/strip to estimate sown species leaf area index (LAI). Subsamples were oven-dried for at least 48 h at 70°C and component dry weights recorded. Sown species leaf lamina samples for the four positions in each strip were sent to Hills Laboratories (Hamilton, New Zealand) for herbage nutritive value analyses as described above, and herbage N% determination. After cutting each herbage sample, a 25 mm diameter soil core to 75 mm depth was collected from the bared earth and the cores from each transect pooled to provide 12 soil samples for quantifying the perceived soil fertility gradients in each strip. Soil samples were sent to Hills Laboratories for a standard soil analysis, including Olsen P.

Statistical analysis
Nutritive values were analysed using a general linear model in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2012). The model included the fixed effects of pasture height (long versus short patches), treatment (RG-RG, TF-RG, TF-TF), height by treatment interaction, date of sampling as a covariate and the random repeated effect of strip, as strips were randomly assigned to treatments at the start of the trial and there are repeat measurements by strip of different orders. Variables (number of sown tillers, tiller density, sown species yield, total yield, LAI of sown species, and proportions of sown species, sown lamina, sown pseudostem, other grasses, weeds and dead matter) were analysed using a general linear model in SAS 9.3 with the fixed effect of treatment, transect, and the treatment by transect interaction; this allowed for testing to see if the strips were different, based on data from transects. It is emphasised that because the RG-RG, TF-RG and TF-TF treatments were unreplicated, except for subsamples within strips, results of statistical analyses do not test for differences between the treatments, but for differences between the treatment strips, which potentially may be affected by other factors besides sown species, such as soil gradients. To evaluate the relationship between soil fertility and sward variables (tiller density, sown species LAI and yield, and total yield), a multiple linear regression model of tiller density on Olsen P was analysed in Minitab™ version 10.51 (Minitab 10.51 Extra Statistical Software 1995, www.minitab.com), such that the regression intercept would estimate RG tiller density at high fertility with additional terms for the RG-RG:TF-RG and RG-RG:TF-TF tiller density difference, and loss of tiller density (assumed constant...
for the three strips) per unit decline in soil Olsen P. In addition, the perceived soil fertility gradient was evaluated by regression of soil test parameters with distance along the paddock strips from the race.

**Results and Discussion**

In practice the rotation length for the TF-TF strip did not maintain the plants near the 4 leaf stage; high growth rates compromising herbage quality at high canopy levels (e.g., >2800 kg DM/ha; Milne et al. 1997) meant that management decisions erred on the side of caution and the TF-TF strip was grazed at an average of 2.5 leaves/tiller, similar to the RG-RG strip. However, differences in leaf appearance rate between TF and RG meant that the TF-TF strip was grazed up to 5 days faster in January-March, up to 9 days slower in April-June, up to 6 days faster from September-November, and up to 16 days slower in December (post-seeding) than the TF-RG and RG-RG strips.

**Herbage dry matter yields**

Accumulated DM yield over 3 years for the three treatments is presented in Figure 2. From the first grazing in January 2013 until June 2013, accumulated DM yields were 6088, 5023 and 4969 kg DM/ha for RG-RG, TF-RG and TF-TF, respectively. These results mirror those of Clark et al. (2010) where a RG-white clover sward yielded 40% more DM than a TF-white clover sward from establishment (January) through the winter of Year 1, due to the slow establishment of TF. In the second growth period (July 2013-June 2014) accumulated DM yields were 12 648, 12 133 and 13 616 kg DM/ha for the RG-RG, TF-RG and TF-TF, respectively. In the third growth period (July 2014-March 2015) comparable data were 7685, 8519 and 8814 kg DM/ha. In an un-replicated trial, Rollo et al. (1998) found that TF consistently out-yielded RG in the first 2 years after establishment, with the largest advantage at sites associated with moderate water deficits (around 161 mm; water deficit equals rainfall minus potential evapotranspiration) compared with those that did not experience deficit (either fully irrigated, or with higher water deficits (up to 235 mm)). In the current study, accumulated DM yields were only greater for the TF-TF strip in Year 1.

In summer (December-February 2013/2014) of Year 2, accumulated DM yields were 2179, 2527 and 3108 kg DM/ha for the RG-RG, TF-RG and TF-TF, respectively, and in the summer (December-February 2014/2015) of Year 3, comparable data were 2542, 2812 and 2578 kg DM/ha. Allo & Souton (1967) reported that TF out-yielded RG due to greater persistence and tolerance of drier conditions, since TF has greater water-use efficiency and deeper root penetration allowing it to extract moisture from a greater soil depth compared with RG (Minneé et al. 2010). While there was a 41% greater DM yield measured in the TF-TF strip compared with the RG-RG strip over the summer of Year 2, it is important to consider other plant parameters such as botanical composition, herbage quality and tiller density, to completely understand the effects on the swards under different grazing regimes.

**Short and long patches**

Patchiness of pastures, especially during periods of feed surplus, is well known but has seldom been studied (Milne et al. 1997). Figure 1 indicates 24 regrowth cycles for TF-TF over the course of the experiment and pasture height histograms for 16 of these were prepared from C-Dax data and visually inspected. Although the average post-grazing residual was not different between the three strips during the study at a mean of 1722 ± 249 (mean ± SD) kg DM/ha, there were more long patches observed in TF-TF than TF-RG or RG-RG in 12 of the 16 charts. In some of the remaining cases the absence of such patches was attributable to mowing post-grazing. The differing herbage height profiles of the three strips are illustrated (Figure 3) for a series of three successive C-Dax pasture height measurements during a regrowth cycle in October 2013, after all three strips were grazed at the same time at the end of September (Figure 1). Figure 3 illustrates that 13 days post-grazing, the TF-TF strip had approximately 60% frequency of C-Dax readings >70 mm compared to <25% on the other two strips. The greater frequency of C-Dax readings >70 mm in the TF-TF strip persisted through the regrowth cycle as illustrated at 20 and 28 days post-grazing. Further research is required to understand the significance and best management of the patchiness observed in TF-TF. For example, patches could become problematic if they...
become permanent and mowing is required to eliminate them.

Nutritive value
There was no treatment by height interaction for any of the nutritive value measures (Table 1). For RG-RG the CP in the long patches was higher than in the short patches, and SSS was lower in the long patches for TF-RG compared to the short patches (P<0.05). The ME and SSS were generally higher in RG-RG compared with either of the TF strips. However, the differences in nutritive value between short and long patches and for all three treatments were small.

Soil fertility and tiller density
The tiller densities measured before commencing grazing treatments (23 January 2013) were on average higher in the RG-RG strip than the average of the two TF (TF-RG, TF-TF) strips (3071 ± 33 versus 1193 ± 98 tillers/m², respectively) (P<0.001). The tiller density of the two grass species at the end of the study (15 December 2015) was 2090 ± 223 versus 1576 ± 129 tillers/m² (P<0.05), for the RG-RG and the average of the two TF (TF-RG, TF-TF) strips, respectively (Table 2). Visual observations suggested the initial tiller density was reduced by treading during an accidental grazing in the establishment phase, but the swards later recovered to a near normal visual appearance. The tiller density of the RG-RG strip at the start of the experiment can be regarded as optimal and the density of the two TF (TF-RG, TF-TF) strips sub-optimal considering a tiller density of >3000 tillers/m² for RG-based pastures and >2300 tillers/m² for alternative grasses was indicative of a productive and persistent pasture comprising at least 70% sown species (Nie et al. 2004). However, tiller density in grass swards

Table 1 Parameters of nutritive value of herbage sampled from long and short patches for the period 30th September 2013 - 22nd January 2014 for the perennial ryegrass (RG-RG), tall fescue managed as for ryegrass (TF-RG) and tall fescue managed as for tall fescue (TF-TF) strips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritive values</th>
<th>RG-RG</th>
<th>TF-RG</th>
<th>TF-TF</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Treat (T)</th>
<th>Height (H)</th>
<th>T x H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP (%)</td>
<td>19.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.4&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (%)</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF (%)</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS (%)</td>
<td>16.3&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.3&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid (%)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>8.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.61&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.33&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.02&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMD (%)</td>
<td>87.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.5&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80.2&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>82.9&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MJ/kg DM)</td>
<td>12.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.2&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b</sup>Means with different superscripts within variables are significantly different (P<0.05).
<sup>1</sup>CP (% dry matter (DM)), crude protein; DM (%), dry matter; NDF (% DM), neutral detergent fibre; SSS (% DM), soluble sugars and starch; OMD (% DM), organic matter digestibility; ME (MJ/kg DM), metabolisable energy.
is a mechanism for LAI adjustment by which swards optimise light interception in response to grazing regime, fluctuating seasonally (Matthew et al. 2013), so it is difficult to infer the productive status of the pasture from tiller density data alone.

There was a difference in final tiller density when comparing the RG-RG strip with TF-RG (P<0.05), however, this was not significantly different when comparing RG-RG with TF-TF or TF-RG with TF-TF (Table 2). Additional information is needed to determine when a low tiller density denotes lack of persistence. This issue was addressed by comparing the LAI of the three strips. Tiller density data were used in conjunction with pseudostem-leaf dissection data and specific leaf area data to generate a LAI of the sown grass species in the three strips. There was a clear statistical separation with the strips ranking TF-TF>TF-RG>RG-RG, with a range of 2.25-0.90 (Table 2). It is generally accepted that a LAI of 3-4 is required to intercept 95% of incident light and these differences between species emphasise the importance of physiological or morphological characteristics in influencing regrowth behaviour (Tavakoli 1993).

Tiller density was not significantly different between TF-TF and TF-RG, however, the yield and LAI of the sown species were greater in TF-TF than in TF-RG (P<0.05). While the point cannot be proven from this unreplicated experiment alone, a fully replicated plot trial in the same paddock with mowing treatments to simulate various grazing regimes produced a similar response pattern across treatments (Kaufononga 2015). This indicates a sensitivity of TF to different grazing regimes and the importance of managing the sward according to the specific growth habits of TF to maximise productivity and persistence (Donaghy et al. 2008). Sown species LAI and yield may therefore be better measures of persistence and productivity than tiller density alone (Matthew et al. 2013).

Soil fertility as assessed by Olsen P did not differ between strips (P=0.888), and averaged 28.4 mg/kg across the experiment site. However, the perceived fertility gradient was confirmed, with Olsen P declining at 0.130 mg/kg/m with distance away from the farm race (P=0.002). Gradients for pH, K, Ca, Mg and cation exchange capacity data were not significantly different from zero (data not shown). Tiller density, LAI of sown species, sown species yield and total yield sampled along the soil Olsen P gradient all positively correlated with Olsen P, confirming that soil fertility is a major factor to be considered in pasture production and persistence (Mackay et al. 2011) (Table 2). The Olsen P response coefficients (Table 2) were tested statistically for differences between RG-RG, TF-RG and TF-TF strips, and none was found.

Herbage nutritive value parameters and leaf N% did not show any response to Olsen P. For example, herbage ME, averaged across the three strips was 10.3 MJ/kg (SE 0.34) at transect 4 (furthest from farm race; Olsen P=18.3) and 10.7 MJ/kg (SE 0.34) at transect 1 (nearest farm race; Olsen P=37.3). Corresponding values for herbage N% were 2.43 (SE 0.31) and 2.57 (SE 0.31), respectively. The increase in herbage mass of 255 kg DM per unit increase in Olsen P (Table 2) along the fertility gradient without significant change in herbage N%, indicates that N supply also increased along the fertility gradient towards the race, and that plant growth was such that N in plant tissue was diluted to a constant final N% (Lemaire 1997).

**Botanical composition**

There was no effect of treatment on the proportion of sown species, weeds or dead herbage in the sward at the end of the experiment. The proportion of clover in the sward was greater in the RG-RG strip compared with the TF-RG and TF-TF strips (P<0.05). Previous studies have reported that TF often has a greater proportion of legume compared with RG due to its slow establishment and more bare ground (Tozer et al. 2011), but that was not the case in the current study.

There was a greater ingressation of weed grasses in the

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant characteristic</th>
<th>RG-RG</th>
<th>TF-RG</th>
<th>TF-TF</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Olsen P coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiller density/m²</td>
<td>2090&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1429&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1722&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>36.0 (4.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sown species LAI</td>
<td>0.90&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.56&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sown species yield, kg DM/ha</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>34.7 (4.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total yield, kg DM/ha</td>
<td>3626&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3826&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4581&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>60.9 (6.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b,c</sup>Means with different superscripts within variables are significantly different (P<0.05).

Olsen P coefficients are significantly different at the 5% confidence level. Standard errors for Olsen P coefficients are presented in brackets.
TF-RG strip compared with either the RG-RG or the TF-TF strips (P<0.05), indicating a lack of competitive ability against ingression of weed grasses by TF when managed the same as RG. In agreement with Bell (1985), RG had a superior competitive ability against grass weeds compared with TF when under the same management (164 versus 302 g other grass/kg DM for RG-RG versus TF-RG, respectively).

**Conclusion**

Results from this study indicate a sensitivity of TF to different grazing regimes and highlight the importance of managing TF pastures according to the specific growth habits of TF to maximise productivity and persistence. The disadvantage of grazing TF under a RG grazing regime was expressed through decreased DM yield and sown species LAI, and increased grass weed ingressions. However, while longer grazing rotations allowing emergence of maximum live leaves/tiller appear to maximise DM yield, plant energy reserves and root mass (Donaghy et al. 2008), attaining these under field conditions whilst trying to maintain intake of grazing cows, is likely to continue to prove elusive. Future studies may benefit from using sown species LAI in addition to tiller density as measures to indicate persistence. Additionally, while grazing management is important to optimise pasture productivity and persistence, it appears that spatial differences in soil nutrients can also have an effect on pasture productivity and persistence.
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**Table 3** The proportions (g/kg dry matter (DM)) of sown species, other grasses, clover, weeds and dead herbage at end of the experiment (14th December 2015) for the perennial ryegrass (RG-RG), tall fescue managed as for ryegrass (TF-RG) and tall fescue managed as for tall fescue (TF-TF) strips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>RG-RG</th>
<th>TF-RG</th>
<th>TF-TF</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sown species</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grasses</td>
<td>164b</td>
<td>302a</td>
<td>207b</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clover</td>
<td>217a</td>
<td>111b</td>
<td>140b</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeds</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means with different superscripts within variables are significantly different (P<0.05).


