

Relationships between dry matter yield and height of rotationally grazed dryland lucerne

A.M. MILLS, M.C. SMITH and D.J. MOOT

Field Research Centre, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand

Derrick.Moot@lincoln.ac.nz

Abstract

Between 2009/2010 and 2014/2015 a total of 711 destructive paired samples of total dry matter yield (kg DM/ha) and lucerne height were taken from a grazed dryland lucerne monoculture experiment at Ashley Dene, Canterbury, New Zealand. These were used to develop relationships suitable for on-farm estimates of lucerne. For pre-graze yields, the variation accounted for increased from 59%, based on lucerne height alone, to 84% for the 14 Year/Season combinations. For post-grazing residual DM yields, inclusion of Year as a factor increased the R^2 from 0.39 to 0.65. Pre-graze data were also grouped into spring, summer and autumn. These relationships accounted for 54-60% of the observed variation in dryland lucerne. In spring the multiplier was ~95 kg DM/cm and this dropped to ~75 kg DM/cm for heights measured in the summer. In autumn the multiplier was ~55 kg DM/cm. Lack of stability in the relationships over time could reflect environmental conditions and changes in stand density which height measurements alone cannot account for.

Keywords: alfalfa, dry matter yield estimates, height, *Medicago sativa* L., regression analysis

Introduction

Rapid non-destructive measurement techniques for dry matter (DM) yield determination reduces costs compared with time consuming destructive determinations. However, these need to be accurate to ensure animals are neither under nor overfed when used for feed budgeting. A range of non-destructive methods have been assessed. These include visual observation, pasture height, pasture capacitance meters, rising plate meters, canopy/ground cover, board height and remote sensing technologies (Earle & McGowan 1979; Griggs & Stringer 1988; Litherland *et al.* 2008; Michalk & Herbert 1977; Mundava *et al.* 2015; Piggot 1988).

The majority of pastoral calibration research has used grass-based pastures for dairy systems. In most cases, calibration equations change throughout the growing season and these were reported to explain 25-80% of the observed variation (Litherland *et al.* 2008; López Díaz & González-Rodríguez 2003; Webby & Pengelly 1986). In the USA, Sanderson *et al.* (2001) reported that calibrations supplied by manufacturers of capacitance meters, rising plate meters and a pasture

ruler underestimated yields by 43 to 74%. The amount of variation accounted for by these methods was also low (11 to 31%). Error levels were 26-33% which was more than the 10% level of error deemed acceptable for on-farm use (Rayburn & Rayburn 1998). Economically, a 10% level of error or less was considered necessary to justify the time and labour associated with collecting data for feed budgeting purposes.

Griggs & Stringer (1988) found lucerne calibrations based on stem height resulted in slopes and intercepts which differed for growth periods and years. Calibration relationships were unaffected by cultivar in most analyses. They identified calibrations would be necessary for individual growth periods to create reliable relationships.

A further complication is protocols (Cayley & Bird 1996) for non-destructive measurements on non-grass swards are rarely outlined. The use of a method which removes some factors associated with the "operator error" would seem helpful. It is also important to note that the end use of the data must be taken into account when selecting a rapid determination method. Where yield alone is the required information, non-destructive methods will save time and the method used could be based on the level of accuracy required. However, if further derived data are required from pastures, such as nutritive data, the protocol required for yield alone may not be suited to some rapid evaluation methods.

Regardless of method 1) any calibration will only be as accurate as the data used to create it; 2) calibrations are rarely stable and differ from year to year, site to site, season to season and between plant species. Factors which cause the calibrations to change include: a) variation between observer/operator associated with subjective decisions during sampling, subconscious bias, and technique; b) differences in seasonal growth patterns of pasture components; c) pasture composition; d) impact of water stress or changes in developmental state of the pasture; e) stand density (Earle & McGowan 1979; López Díaz & González-Rodríguez 2003; Sanderson *et al.* 2001).

This paper describes calibrations between dry matter (DM) yield and height for lucerne monocultures from a dryland, summer dry grazing experiment conducted at Ashley Dene, Canterbury (43°65' S, 172°32' E, 35 m a.s.l.) from 2009/2010 to 2014/2015. Relationships were created to estimate paddock yields from destructive

paired quadrat samples of lucerne height and yield. A "bulk" seasonal approach adequate for on-farm use is also included, recognising validation of such estimates is required.

Materials and methods

A 4.2 ha farmlet study was established in November 2008. Lucerne was inoculated before sowing at a bare seed equivalent rate of 10 kg/ha. Across the experimental area the soils were classified as Lowcliffe moderately deep and Lowcliffe stony soils (McLenaghan & Webb 2012).

Two adjacent grazing areas (farmlets) were established in one block. The rotationally grazed farmlet had six 0.4 ha paddocks (89 x 49 m). Within each of these "grazing" paddocks there were four replicates of seven lucerne cultivars ('Kaituna', 'Stamina 5', 'Stamina 6GT', AgResearch grazing tolerant breeding line (AgR GT), AgResearch high preference breeding line (AgR HP), 'Runner II' and 'Rhino') sown in 28 individual cultivar plots (6.3 x 24.5 m). In an adjacent area within the same paddock, three cultivars ('Stamina 5', 'Stamina 6GT' and 'Runner II') were established in each of four 0.43 ha replicate paddocks. These were set stocked (SS) or semi set stocked (Semi SS) in the spring lactation phase. For both experimental areas, cultivar subplots were grazed in common within a "grazing" paddock. The experiment terminated at weaning in mid-November 2014.

Grazing management

The spring season is defined as July-November, summer December to February and autumn includes all grazing events from March-June. Lucerne was grazed with sheep provided from the Ashley Dene flocks as outlined by Moot *et al.* (2016).

For lucerne under SS or Semi SS management in the spring lactation phase the four paddocks were halved to created eight 0.21 ha subplots. This created four replicate subplots which could be grazed by four replicate sheep mobs per treatment. For the Semi SS grazing treatment these 0.21 ha subplots were halved again to create four replicates of 2 x 0.11 ha paddocks where livestock grazed for 10 days and were then shifted to the other half of the paddock for 10 days to create a 10 day regrowth before re-entry. After weaning the fences between the SS and Semi SS areas were removed and the area was rotationally grazed in a four paddock rotation to generate a "Recovery" phase (Moot *et al.* 2003).

Measurements

Environmental data

Rainfall and temperature data were collected from an on-farm weather station, located ~0.5 km from the experimental area. The long-term mean (LTM; 1980-

2009) annual rainfall was 604 mm/yr at the nearby Burnham Sewage treatment plant (~5 km NE of the experiment). Over the duration of the experiment annual rainfall ranged from 582 mm in 2010/2011 to 830 mm 2012/2013. Monthly rainfall varied from 5.2 mm (May 2015) to 224 mm (June 2013). Mean air temperature followed the expected seasonal patterns.

Calibration development

Most destructive yield and composition data measurements used to create the calibration relationship were taken from within the rotationally grazed treatment area. A calibration was created from paired measurements of DM yield determined destructively from 0.2 m² quadrats cut to ground level. Height (cm) was measured from the average height of the tallest lucerne stems within a 15 cm radius from the height stick. The criterion was to locate areas in the sward of contrasting lucerne height (high, medium and low) in each of the selected plots. Between one and five quadrats were taken from an individual plot. Various cultivar, plot, and grazing management combinations were sampled at different times over the course of the experiment.

Statistical analysis

Description of the dataset

A total of 711 paired data points were collected between spring 2009 and summer 2015. Of these 468 were collected from the rotationally grazed pastures before grazing. A further 101 samples were used to create a post-graze calibration. Of the remaining 142 samples some were from the SS and Semi SS grazing areas. Almost half of the samples were collected from the 'Stamina 6GT'-based plots because they were common to the three grazing treatments.

Description of the process to generate calibration relationships

To evaluate the effect of the main factors on the total DM yield versus lucerne height relationship, an all subsets regression was performed in Genstat (VSN International Ltd v16.1). Forced terms were a) the constant, to identify whether intercepts were similar or different to zero, and b) lucerne height (cm). Free terms included: Season (spring, summer and autumn); cultivar; year and spring grazing management strategy (rotational, SS or Semi SS).

The differentiation of SS and Semi SS, where available, was incorporated throughout the year, even though these areas were rotationally grazed in summer and autumn. This was to test whether the cultivars had similar DM yield/height relationships to that determined in rotationally grazed pastures. These data are shown for completeness but were excluded from

spring and summer calibrations because relationships differed between the grazing treatments. By autumn, the height versus DM relationship was consistent across grazing treatments, probably because all plots were being rotationally grazed.

After identifying the model most appropriate to describe the dataset, for simplicity and ease of presentation, while allowing cultivar and grazing management to be defined, linear regressions were fitted individually to each of the 14 groups. Where the intercept was shown to be similar to zero the regression was forced through the origin. This was possible in 8 of 14 cases. In the six cases where the intercepts differed from zero it was necessary to add an initial phase from 0 cm to the minimum lucerne height contributing to the regression. This prevented the calculation of a) yield <0 where y intercept values were negative and b) the calculation of positive yield values at 0 cm heights where the y intercept value was positive. This accounted for paddock height data that fell outside the range of the calibration for any specified period.

Results and Discussion

Pre-graze calibrations

To maximise the adjusted R^2 for the entire pre-graze dataset ($n=468$) required incorporation of season and year as explanatory factors (adjusted $R^2 = 0.78$). Adding a third term of cultivar had a minor impact on the adjusted R^2 (0.80) so the two term analysis was considered the most appropriate. This indicated the relationship held for all seven cultivars used in this experiment.

Data were then coded into one of 14 groupings to describe the different season/year combinations and a grouped linear regression was performed. This allowed standard errors for each of the fitted parameters (intercept and slope) to be determined. The analysis increased the amount of variation accounted for from 59% to 84%. For simplicity, and to ensure the different treatment combinations including cultivar and spring management can be clearly seen, each of the 14 regressions fitted have been plotted separately. For convenience, the regression for each of the 14 groups was performed separately on a restricted dataset to derive R^2 values specific to each of the fitted regressions presented.

Regressions on the restricted dataset altered the standard errors associated with the parameters from those initially determined in the grouped linear regression. Six of the 14 groupings were found to have non-zero intercepts but it was found that the regression for Group 1 (spring 2009/2010) could be forced through the origin when the regression was performed on the restricted dataset. In contrast, Group 8 (autumn 2012/2013) which encompassed the origin in the

grouped linear regression was subsequently identified as having a y-intercept which differed from zero when analysed individually.

Overall, the procedure of using an all subset regression followed by recoding for a grouped linear regression ultimately resulted in the need for only 14 regressions to estimate total DM yield from lucerne height over the course of six growth seasons. This compares with 103 potential combinations if individual year/season/cultivar combinations were required.

Calibration relationships for spring, summer and autumn are presented in Figures 2, 3 and 4. Initial phases with a solid grey line indicate regression intercepts were forced through the origin. Initial phases with a grey dashed line indicate regression intercepts differed from zero and required an initial phase to be described. Effectively, this meant that there were 20 different relationships used to estimate pre-graze yields over the 6 years.

Spring

Between 47.7 ± 10.3 (2013/2014) and 119 ± 4.53 kg DM/ha (2014/2015) was produced per centimetre of maximum lucerne height (Figure 1). In three out of six spring seasons relationships encompassed the origin. In the other 3 years equivalent x-intercept values were -7.7 (2010/2011), -16.6 (2012/2013) and -38.8 cm (2013/2014). Over the first 4 years the slope of the spring regression declined at about 11.8 kg DM/ha per year ($R^2 = 0.84$; not shown) from the maximum in Year 1. This pattern was expected as total yield declines in a lucerne stand over time as individual plants die and the remaining population is unable to compensate by producing extra stems/plant. However, the increase to 119 kg DM/cm in the final spring (2014/2015) did not fit the expected pattern and its inclusion meant no relationship existed over time.

Further investigation indicated much of this was related to total seasonal rainfall (July-November) but the low slope for 2013/2014 was an outlier. This was probably related to the high non-zero intercept of 1849 ± 409 kg/ha. Partitioning the calibration data was investigated further by creating a grouping factor based on the calendar date when potential soil moisture content, from climatic data, indicated 50% of available soil moisture had been depleted (Penman 1971). However, the majority of paired samples remained in their allocated "Season" and thus re-running the all subsets regression did not improve the relationship. It is possible that if more data were collected around this environmental tipping point the inclusion of a grouping factor based on soil moisture may have potential to alter relationships generated. Another factor which requires clarification is the light soil on which the experiment was conducted. Previous work by Sim (2014) showed




Figure 1 Relationships between total dry matter (DM) yield (kg/ha) and lucerne height (cm) for spring (July-November) between 2009/2010 and 2014/2015 at Ashley Dene, Canterbury. SS and Semi SS data are shown for completeness but were excluded from analysis. --- are the 95% confidence intervals. --- where the intercept encompassed zero the regression was forced through the origin (—) while - - - indicates the intercept differed from zero. Each fitted parameter is reported with its respective standard error and R^2 value.

that, even when water was identified as being “non-limiting” to growth, water supply from the soil was inadequate to meet full transpiration demand by the lucerne. Thus, these relationships could differ from stands grown on deep soils with greater water holding capacity or for crops which can be irrigated.

Table 1 shows that when the individual regressions were used to estimate total DM yields at a range of heights (15 to 50 cm) the estimated yields were within 360 to 1200 kg/ha of the 6 year mean despite the year to year variation in calibrations.

Summer

Between 48.0 ± 6.58 (2011/2012) and 96.5 ± 4.52 kg DM/ha/cm (2012/2013) were produced (Figure 2). Intercepts encompassed zero in Years 2, 4 and 6 (2010/2011, 2012/2013 and 2014/2015) but not in Year 3. The equivalent x-intercept value was -17.5 cm in 2011/2012. The four regressions fitted to data collected during the summer accounted for between 49 and 78% of the observed yield variations.

No calibration data from rotationally grazed pastures were collected in the dry summers of 2009/2010 (Year 1) and 2013/2014 (Year 5). Table 2 shows yields estimated from these regressions were deviated from

the 6 year mean yield by 520 to 1200 kg DM/ha.

The reduction in yield per cm, compared with relationships determined for spring, is consistent with previous work which showed remobilisation of root reserves in spring results in higher growth rates than can be explained purely by increases in temperature (Brown *et al.* 2006; Moot *et al.* 2003; Teixeira *et al.* 2009a; Teixeira *et al.* 2009b). In summer, in a water stressed crop, internode length is reduced due to decreased cell expansion, which also decreases canopy light interception.

Autumn

Slopes ranged from 33.5 ± 4.02 (2013/2014) to 72.4 ± 1.90 kg DM/ha/cm (2010/2011) (Figure 3). Where measured, data from the SS and Semi SS grazing treatments, which were being rotationally grazed in autumn, were able to be included in the regressions. In autumn of 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 (Years 2 and 3) y-intercepts were able to be forced through the origin. In 2012/2013 the equivalent x-intercept value was 3.0 cm and in 2013/2014 it was equivalent to -16.8 cm. The amount of variation accounted for by the individual regressions fitted to the season/year groups in autumn was 33 (2011/2012) to 88% (2010/2011).

Table 1 Estimated total DM yields (kg/ha) at eight different average lucerne heights (Ht; 15-50 cm) based on individual spring regressions presented in Figure 1.

Ht (cm)	2009/2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	2014/2015	Mean Yield
15	1560	1730	1220	2240	2570	1790	1850
20	2080	2110	1630	2590	2800	2380	2270
25	2600	2490	2040	2950	3040	2980	2680
30	3120	2870	2450	3300	3280	3570	3100
35	3640	3250	2860	3660	3520	4170	3520
40	4160	3630	3260	4010	3760	4760	3930
45	4680	4010	3670	4370	4000	5360	4350
50	5200	4390	4080	4720	4230	5950	4760

Table 2 Estimated total DM yields (kg/ha) of lucerne based on the regressions for summer reported in Figure 2. For 2009/2010 and 2013/2014 the “Summer” regression was applied (see Figure 6).

Ht (cm)	2009/2010	2010/2011	2011/2012	2012/2013	2013/2014	2014/2015	Mean Yield
15	1914	1385	809	1087	1914	1259	1394
20	2278	1846	1078	1389	2278	1678	1758
25	2642	2308	1348	1692	2642	2098	2121
30	3006	2769	1617	1994	3006	2517	2485
35	3370	3231	1887	2297	3370	2937	2848
40	3734	3692	2156	2599	3734	3356	3212
45	4098	4154	2426	2902	4098	3776	3575
50	4462	4615	2695	3204	4462	4195	3939




Figure 2 Relationships between total DM yield (kg/ha) and lucerne height (cm) for summer (December–February) between 2010/2011 and 2014/2015 at Ashley Dene, Canterbury. SS and Semi SS treatments are shown for completeness but were excluded from the analysis. --- are the 95% confidence interval. Where the intercept encompassed zero the regression was forced through the origin (—) while --- indicates the intercept differed from zero. Each fitted parameter is reported with its respective standard error and R^2 value.




Figure 3 Relationships between total DM yield (kg/ha) and lucerne height (cm) for autumn (March-June) between 2010/2011 and 2013/2014 at Ashley Dene, Canterbury. --- are the 95% confidence intervals. Where the intercept encompassed zero the regression was forced through the origin (—) while --- indicates the intercept differed from zero. Each fitted parameter is reported with its respective standard error and R^2 value. Note: no measurements were taken in autumn 2009/2010 or 2014/2015.

Application of the individual regressions was used to estimate total DM yields at lucerne heights from 15-50 cm. For Year 1 (2009/2010) and 6 (2014/2015) the "Autumn" regression ($\text{Yield} = 460 + 39.5x$) was applied as no calibration data existed. Over 6 years estimated yields deviated from the 6 year mean by 61 to 850 kg/ha (6 to 31%).

Further examination showed the slopes of the regressions created for autumn tended to decrease over time. It is likely that this reflects variation in the timing and quantity of rainfall in autumn which would require collection of data points at more frequent intervals to investigate fully.

The lowest slope was found reflecting the combined effects of continued water stress until autumn break rains were sufficient to begin recharging the soil profile, and a daylength induced change in partitioning priorities. This results in a lower ratio of carbohydrate being partitioned to the production of aboveground DM and therefore lower growth rates (Teixiera *et al.* 2009a).

Post-graze relationships

There were 101 post-graze paired samples included in the all subset regression. This identified year as the most appropriate descriptor to separate treatment groupings (adjusted $R^2 = 0.65$). The amount of variation accounted for increased from 39 to 65% when Year was used in the subsequent grouped linear regression.

For simplicity, regressions were then performed on data from individual years to generate R^2 values and where appropriate regressions were forced through the origin (3/4 years). In the first year (2009/2010) the regression intercept differed from zero. Post-graze calibration data were unavailable for 2013/2014 or 2014/2015 and not all treatments were sampled in all years. The majority (83/101) of post-graze calibration cuts were taken from rotationally grazed pastures. The amount of variation explained by lucerne height within individual years (Figure 4) ranged from 23 (2011/2012) to 88% (2010/2011) with slopes ranging from a

minimum of 25.2 ± 4.22 (2009/2010) to a maximum of 58.6 ± 7.32 kg DM/ha/cm for the post-graze heights taken in 2012/2013 (Year 4).

In general, the post-graze relationships are of less importance in an on-farm context, but from a research perspective are needed to determine utilisation (Moot *et al.* 2016).

On-farm application

The processes outlined above were necessary to provide data with a high degree of accuracy and to examine the stability in the relationships over time for this site. This resulted in 20 regressions to estimate pre-graze lucerne yields over 6 years and confirm the need for different regressions for different years and growth seasons as indicated by Griggs & Stringer (1988). It also confirms their report of no impact of cultivar differences on the calibration relationship. In addition, when grouped, the data provide three seasonally based multipliers to convert *in situ* height measurements to pre-graze DM estimates. These could be applicable on-farm under similar climate and soil conditions. All three regressions were forced though the origin for simplicity.

Figure 5 shows in spring ~95 kg DM were produced for every cm increase in lucerne height ($\text{Yield} = 94.2 \pm 1.48x$; $R^2 = 0.54$). For summer (December-February) dryland lucerne produced 75 kg DM/cm with an R^2 of 0.63 (Figure 6) while in autumn (March-June) yield increased by ~55 kg DM/cm ($R^2 = 0.52$; Figure 7). The low R^2 values highlight the variability in these relationships and suggests they may need ongoing refinement for use for on-farm.

Conclusions

- Paired samples (468) taken from a dryland grazed lucerne monoculture between 2009/2010 and 2014/2015 were used to create calibrations between total DM yield and lucerne height. Incorporation of year and season into the model increased the overall amount of variation accounted for from 58 to 84%.

Table 3 Estimated total DM yields (kg/ha) of lucerne based on the regressions for the autumn reported in Figure 2. For 2009/2010 and 2014/2015 the "Autumn" regression was applied (see Figure 3).

Ht (cm)	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Mean Yield
15	1053	1086	809	1087	1066	1053	1025
20	1251	1448	1078	1389	1233	1251	1275
25	1448	1810	1348	1692	1401	1448	1524
30	1646	2172	1617	1994	1568	1646	1774
35	1843	2534	1887	2297	1736	1843	2023
40	2041	2896	2156	2599	1903	2041	2273
45	2238	3258	2426	2902	2071	2238	2522
50	2436	3620	2695	3204	2238	2436	2771




Figure 4 Total dry matter yield (kg/ha) of a dryland lucerne monoculture against residual lucerne height (cm) for 4 years at Ashley Dene, Canterbury. --- are the 95% confidence intervals. Where the intercept encompassed zero the regression was forced through the origin (—) while --- indicates the intercept differed from zero. Each fitted parameter is reported with its respective standard error and R² value. Note: no measurements were taken in 2013/2014 or 2014/2015.




Figure 5 Total DM yield (kg/ha) against average lucerne height (cm) in spring (July–November) for a dryland lucerne monoculture based on data collected under rotational grazing of seven cultivars (black symbols; $n = 210$). Data from SS ($n = 15$) and Semi SS ($n = 30$) spring grazing management are shown for completeness. Dashed lines are the 95% confidence interval of the line.




Figure 6 Total DM yield (kg/ha) against average lucerne height (cm) in summer (December–February) for a dryland lucerne monoculture based on data collected under rotational grazing of seven cultivars (black symbols; $n = 96$). Data from Semi SS ‘Stamina 6GT’ lucerne (grey squares; $n = 8$) spring grazing management, which were rotationally grazed in summer, are shown for completeness but were excluded from the regression. Dashed lines are the 95% confidence interval.

- For post-graze calibration relationships, based on 101 paired samples, inclusion of year as a factor increased the amount of variation explained from 35%, based on lucerne height alone, to 65%.
- For on-farm application lucerne yield can be estimated at 95 kg DM/cm in spring, 75 kg DM/cm in summer and 55 kg DM/cm in autumn, but there

was considerable variation around these values.

- Relationships were consistent across the seven cultivars used in this experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was undertaken as part of Phase II of the Pastoral 21 Programme, funded by the Ministry for




Figure 7 Relationship between total DM yield (kg/ha) and lucerne height (cm) for autumn ($n = 162$) collected between 2009/2010 and 2014/2015 at Ashley Dene Canterbury. Regressions were forced through the origin, although the line of best fit had a non-zero. Dashed lines are the 95% confidence intervals and slopes are reported with their corresponding standard errors.

Business, Innovation & Employment; DairyNZ; Beef + Lamb New Zealand and Fonterra. Mr Roland Stead provided additional financial support.

REFERENCES

- Brown, H.E.; Moot, D.J.; Teixeira, E.I. 2006. Radiation use efficiency and biomass partitioning of lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) in a temperate climate. *European Journal of Agronomy* 25: 319-327.
- Cayley, J.W.D.; Bird, P.R. 1996. Techniques for Measuring Pastures (2nd Ed.). Pastoral and Veterinary Institute, Hamilton, Vic. 51 pp.
- Earle, D.; McGowan, A. 1979. Evaluation and calibration of an automated rising plate meter for estimating dry matter yield of pasture. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 19: 337-343.
- Griggs, T.C.; Stringer, W.C. 1988. Prediction of alfalfa herbage mass using sward height, ground cover, and disk technique. *Agronomy Journal* 80: 204-208.
- Litherland, A.J.; Webby, R.W.; Fraser, T.J.; Matthew, C.; McCleod, K.; Walcroft, J.; Bryant, J.; Devantier, B.; Hoogendoorn, C.J.; Moss, R.A.; Clarke-Hill, W.J.; Schreurs, P.J. 2008. Indirect measurement of pasture mass and pasture growth rate on sheep and beef pastures. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association* 70: 137-144.
- López Díaz, J.E.; González-Rodríguez, A. 2003. Measuring grass yield by non-destructive methods. pp. 569-572. In: Optimal forage systems for animal production and the environment. Proceedings of the 12th Symposium of the European Grassland Federation. Pleven, Bulgaria.
- McLenaghan, R.; Webb, T.H. 2012. Soil properties and fertility of Ashley Dene: the challenge. pp. 17-22. In: Ashley Dene Lincoln University Farm: the first 100 years. Eds. Lucas, R.J.; Gow, N.; Nichol, A. Lincoln University, Christchurch.
- Michalk, D.L.; Herbert, P.K. 1977. Assessment of four techniques for estimating yield on dryland pastures. *Agronomy Journal* 69: 864-868.
- Moot, D.J.; Bennett, S.; Mills, A.M.; Smith, M.C. 2016. Optimal grazing management to achieve high yields and utilisation of dryland lucerne. *Journal of New Zealand Grasslands* 78: 27-34.
- Moot, D.J.; Brown, H.E.; Teixeira, E.I.; Pollock, K.M. 2003. Crop growth and development affect seasonal priorities for lucerne management. *Legumes for Dryland Pastures. Grasslands Research and Practice Series 11*: 201-208.
- Mundava, C.; Schut, A.G.T.; Helmholz, P.; Stovold, R.; Donald, G.; Lamb, D.W. 2015. A novel protocol for assessment of aboveground biomass in rangeland environments. *The Rangeland Journal* 37: 157-167.
- Penman, H.L. 1971. Irrigation at Woburn - VII. Report for the Rothamsted Experimental Station 1970, Part 2. pp.147-170.
- Piggot, G.H. 1988. Measuring pasture yield for livestock management on farms. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 48: 219-224.
- Rayburn, E.B.; Rayburn, S.B. 1998. A standardised plate meter for estimating pasture mass in on-farm research. *Agronomy Journal* 90: 238-241.

- Sanderson, M.A.; Rotz, C.A.; Fultz, S.W.; Rayburn, E.B. 2001. Estimating forage mass with a commercial capacitance meter, rising plate meter, and pasture ruler. *Agronomy Journal* 93: 1281-1286.
- Sim, R.E. 2014. Water extraction and use of seedling and established dryland lucerne crops. PhD thesis. Lincoln University. <http://hdl.handle.net/10182/6044>
- Teixeira, E.I.; Moot, D.J.; Brown, H.E. 2009a. Modelling seasonality of dry matter partitioning and root maintenance respiration in lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.) crops. *Crop and Pasture Science* 60: 778-784.
- Teixeira, E.I.; Moot, D.J.; Brown, H.E.; Monks, D.P. 2009b. Modeling shoot and root biomass of lucerne crops - new insights on the seasonality of dry matter partitioning and root maintenance respiration. pp. 109-115. *In: Crop Modeling and Decision Support*. Eds. Cao, W.; White, J.W.; Wang, E. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Webby, R.W.; Pengelly, W.J. 1986. The use of pasture height as a predictor of feed level in North Island Hill Country. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association* 47: 249-253.