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Abstract

The effectiveness of Sechura RPR (SPR) plus sulphur (S) as a development and maintenance fertiliser was compared with superphosphate (SSP) on an irrigated grazed pasture. In 1980, SSP or SPR plus S was applied at 71 kg/ha phosphorus (P) to pastures that had not received fertiliser for 22 years, but had been recently over-drilled with ryegrass and white clover. Annual maintenance rates of 250 kg SSP/ha and 175 kg SPR/ha plus S, (supplying 22 kg P/ha and 27 kg S/ha), were applied from 1981 to 1992. In the first year the SSP treatment restored pasture production to near optimum level and this level was maintained over subsequent years with annual topdressings. In contrast, the SPR plus S treatment did not restore pasture production until the third year. In the first two years production from this treatment was only 69% and 88%, respectively, of the 250 kg/ha/year SSP treatment. From year 3, annual applications of SPR plus S maintained pasture production. In the first 3 years P concentrations of pasture topdressed with SPR were lower than those of pastures topdressed with SSP. Herbage S concentrations were adequate on both fertiliser treatments. Soil Olsen P levels rose quickly in the first year after the initial application of SSP then decreased to the same level as the SPR plus S treatment. Olsen P levels have been rising steadily since 1983, from both forms of P, indicating that 22 kg P/ha/year is above maintenance for this site.
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Introduction

Since the early 1980s there have been numerous studies investigating the effectiveness of reactive phosphate rock (RPR) as an alternative to superphosphate (SSP) in either a development (Mackay et al. 1984; Wilson & Jordan 1989; Mackay 1990), or a maintenance situation (Sinclair et al. 1990; Mackay 1990; Smith et al. 1990). Published work has highlighted two features:

i) Pasture receiving RPR often takes longer than SSP to reach optimum yields (lag period); and
ii) Soil pH and annual rainfall are major factors influencing the effectiveness of RPR.

However, none of the published work has studied the effectiveness of RPR over periods longer than 6 years. In 1980, two treatments were incorporated into the long term phosphate trial at Winchmore Research Station (Rickard & McBride 1987; Nguyen et al. 1989), to measure the effectiveness of RPR compared with SSP as a development and then a maintenance fertiliser on grazed, irrigated pasture on a Lismore stony silt loam.

Methods

Trial site

The trial site was first established in 1952 to evaluate the effect of SSP rates (nil. 188, 376, 576 kg/ha/year) on irrigated pasture production under grazing. In 1958 after 6 years of SSP applications, the 376 and 576 treatments were discontinued and the residual effects measured. By 1980, production from these two treatments was only 48% of that from SSP applied at 376 kg/ha/year. Soil Olsen phosphorus (P) levels (6 µg/ml) and sulphate sulphur (S) levels (4 ppm) were low compared with 20 µg P/ml and 6 ppm S on the continuous SSP treatment applied at 376 kg/ha. Initial soil pH was 6.0 in 1980 and has declined to 5.7 in 1991.

Trial design

In 1980, it was calculated that 70 kg P/ha was required to increase the level of soil P in the O-75 mm soil depth to a level intermediate between those on SSP applied at 188 and 376 kg/ha/year. The sward on the residual treatment areas was broken up by heavy trampling with sheep and direct drilled with ryegrass (20 kg/ha) and white clover (3 kg/ha) in early August 1980.

In September 1980, 730 kg/ha of either SSP (supplying 60 kg P) or SPR (supplying 71 kg P) plus sulphur was applied. Each treatment was allocated 2 replicates of the old residual treatments. After further product testing more SSP was applied in early November 1980 to
balance the total amount of P. This, however, resulted in more S being applied to the SSP (91 kg/ha) than the SPR (5.1 kg/ha) treatment in the first year.

From 1981 SSP was applied annually at 250 kg/ha and SPR plus S at 175 kg/ha. Both forms of P supplied equal amounts of P (22 kg/ha) and S (27 kg/ha).

Each treatment was grazed by a separate flock of dry ewes to ensure no fertility transfer between treatments. The stocking rate on each treatment was adjusted so that 80% of the pasture was utilised.

All treatments were irrigated simultaneously by the border strip method whenever the soil moisture in the 0-100 mm depth dropped to 25% available soil moisture. Over the trial period annual rainfall averaged 680 mm and an average of 4 irrigations per year were applied. Therefore, with irrigation, the soil was kept relatively moist throughout, and would exceed the minimum 800 mm rainfall criteria established by Sinclair et al. (1990) for effective dissolution of RPR.

Measurements

Pasture production was measured by an enclosure technique (Lynch 1966) with a sampling area of 5 m² over 2 enclosures. An average of 8 harvests were made per year. At each harvest, P and S concentrations were measured on the mixed sward and botanical dissections were carried out. Soil samples (0-75 mm) were collected from each plot 4 times per year for soil P and S analysis.

Treatment fertilisers

Superphosphate

The citric soluble P fraction of the SSP applied averaged 5.2 in 1980/81 and increased to average 8.3 in 1989/90.

Sechura RPR

The initial application in September 1980 was ground powdered SPR pelleted using gypsum as a binding agent. This had a composition of 9.4% total P and 7.0% sulphate S. Particle size analysis of this product showed that 55% was less than 0.075 mm whilst normally “as received” SPR would contain only 2.3% less than 0.075 mm. From 1981 to 1983, SPR elemental S granules were made from “as received” SPR mixed with molten S. The resulting product had similar particle size to the original SPR and a mean P content of 11.2% and S content of 13.2%. The S in this form was probably less soluble than the gypsum. Since 1984 “as received” SPR plus elemental S (sieved to <2 mm) has been applied.

Results and discussion

In the first 2 years, pasture production from SPR/S was less than from SSP and this period has been termed the development phase (Table 1, Fig. 1). From 1982 to 1992 production from both fertilisers has been similar and this period has been termed the maintenance phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>250 Super</th>
<th>Sechura/S</th>
<th>376 Super</th>
<th>LSD(5%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80/81</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81/82</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82/83</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83/84</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84/85</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/86</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86/87</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87/88</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88/89</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89/90</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/91</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91/92</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Pasture production from SSP and SPR relative to SSP applied at 250 kg/ha per year.

Development phase

In the first two years pasture production from the applications of SSP was not significantly different from the 376 kg SSP/ha treatment. Most of the reduction in the first year (1980/81) occurred in September and October. In contrast, production from SPR/S was only 69% and 88% of the 250 SSP treatment in years 1 and 2 respectively. These differences were significant at the 5% level of probability. The lag period of 2 years was longer than that reported by Wilson & Jordan (1989) for SPR, and Mackay (1990) for North Carolina Phosphate Rock (NCPR) in development situations.
SPR particle size, initial rate of application, SSP quality, and soil pH would have influenced the length of the lag period between SPR and SSP on this site.

The initial application of ground SPR could have been expected to increase the dissolution rate but the effect of the former particles sixe may have been negated by the presence of gypsum. Other unreported work at Winchmore over 6 years, showed that there was no agronomic difference between ground SPR (with gypsum) as applied initially in the reported trial and "as received" SPR (plus elemental sulphur).

This unreported work did show, however, that the lag period was reduced from 2 to 1 year when 60 kg P/ha was applied compared with 20 kg P/ha. This would suggest that the 70 kg P/ha applied in the first year of this trial would have reduced the lag period compared with lower amounts of P.

During the development phase the quality of SSP used was low (5.2% citric soluble P). Pasture production from the SSP treatments may have been lower than what could be expected from the currently manufactured higher quality SSP, thus creating a shorter lag period.

 Clover production in the first year was significantly higher on the 250 SSP treatment (37% of sward) compared with the SPR/S treatment (24%). This was in contrast to the trend in clover content found by Mackay (1990).

Maintenance phase

From spring 1982/83 to 1991/92 there was no significant difference in pasture production between SSP and SPR/S. This was the same finding as on the Winchmore irrigated site (Smith et al. 1991) which showed that applying 20 kg P/ha per year as SPR or SSP maintained pasture production at similar levels.

Other work (Sinclair et al. 1992) showed that SPR can supply Mo to soils that are Mo deficient but this response pattern was not evident in this trial.

Herbage S concentrations

From year 1 herbage S levels of both treatments were above 0.3% which is higher than the required optimum (0.27%-0.32% S) levels reported by Comforth and Sinclair (1984). These levels have been maintained.

Soil P status

The initial application of SSP quickly raised the Olsen P level from 8 to 15 µg/ml in early November 1980 before the second application of SSP (Fig. 2). By July 1981 the Olsen P level had decreased to 6 µg/ml; one unit above SPR which had decreased slightly since topdressing.

Since about 1983 there has been an upward trend in the average soil Olsen P levels on both treatments, indicating that 22 kg P/ha/year is above maintenance for this site.

Conclusions

1. The application of 71 kg P/ha and 91 kg S/ha as SSP in 1980 to irrigated pasture that had not received fertiliser for 22 years restored pasture production to optimum levels within 12 months. This level of production was maintained with 250 kg SSP/ha/year from 1981-1992.

2. In contrast, applying 71 kg P/ha as SPR and 51 kg S/ha resulted in a 2-year lag period before pasture production was at an optimal level.

3. In subsequent years (1983-1992), SPR/S was as effective as SSP in maintaining optimum pasture production.

4. Over the period of the trial (1980-1992) soil Olsen P levels have steadily increased on both treatments, indicating that the amount of phosphorus applied each year is above that required to maintain soil P levels at this site.

| Table 2 Herbage P concentrations (%P) from SSP and SPR applications. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                         | Superphosphate          | Sechura/S               | Superphosphate          |
| 250                     | 0.25                    | 0.25                    | 0.43                    |
| 80/81                   | 0.33                    | 0.28                    | 0.42                    |
| 81/82                   | 0.32                    | 0.28                    | 0.43                    |
| 82/83                   | 0.35                    | 0.31                    | 0.43                    |
| 83/84                   | 0.37                    | 0.36                    | 0.47                    |
| 84/85                   | 0.33                    | 0.33                    | 0.43                    |
| 85/86                   | 0.38                    | 0.37                    | 0.47                    |
| 86/87                   | 0.41                    | 0.41                    | 0.50                    |
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